RISK LOG: This document sets out the high level risks

Created: October 2011

Project Name: NW Bicester Eco Town

Delivery of the Development of NW Bicester Eco Town

Scope:

- 1. Master planning for the whole site
- 2. Phase 1 planning application
- 3. Demonstration projects

Areas:

- A. Project Environment
- B. LDF
- C. Development of a Masterplan
- D. Masterplan Workstreams
- E. Phase 1 application
- F. Demonstration Projects
- **G.** Supporting Utilities Infrastructure

RISK LOG: This document sets out the high level risks

Created: October 2011

Status:

Green: Not likely to effect the programme Amber: Risk of programme being affected

Red: Serious risk of programme being affected Grey: Risks that are no longer active / relevant.

| Risk | Initial Status | Progress | Mitigation / Contingency | Revised Status |
|--|-------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| A. Project Environment | | | | |
| Change of government resulting in uncertainty of position on Eco Towns | A | Government's views on Eco Towns are emerging. Likely to endorse development with local support, incentivising & rewarding communities where growth takes place and supporting the development of neighbourhood plans. Second round growth funding reduced by 50% but retention of funding reflects continuing commitment to growth in appropriate locations and the NW Bicester Eco Town. | | G |

| Changes to national planning policies and regional structures resulting in uncertain planning context | A | Localism Bill, New Homes Bonus and LEPs will impact on existing planning system. Revisions to national planning system currently subject to debate and consultation, but full impact on Eco Town as yet unknown. Consultation on the National Planning Policy Framework (which will eventually replace the current PPGs and PPSs) ends on October 17 2011. | Respond to Government consultations as necessary, note any potential impacts on Eco Town and provide regular updates for the SDB so that all are aware of further developments. Regarding the NPPF, CDC and OCC have signed a joint response with other Eco Town authorities prepared by the Town and Country Planning Association. | A |
|---|-----|--|---|---|
| 2. Site assembly is not secured, threatening comprehensive approach to delivery of development | А | Land secured for Phase 1 application. Developers continuing to negotiate to secure further land. Could impact on phasing. | Site promoters to provide regular updates on land assembly progress and issues arising. | A |
| 3. Insufficient funding from Government threatening ability of CDC / OCC to deliver project. | _ A | First round funding secured and received from CLG. DfE funding announced was not received. Announcement re 2 nd Round Funding received, now to be provided as block grant. Other sources of funding being explored. | | G |
| 4. Insufficient HCA Funding for affordable housing | R | HCA generally has much reduced funding available for affordable housing but has allocated 2010/2011 funding to deliver the Phase 1 affordable housing. | There have been significant changes in the approach to delivery of affordable housing. Continue discussions with HCA regarding future funding for affordable housing once masterplan details are firmed up. | G |

| 5. Risk that innovative responses to the challenge of creating a new form of development will not be successful | A | The Eco Town programme sought innovation in the development of new communities. There are risks that new solutions may fail for a variety of reasons including technical failure, lack of markets or investors. There are also risks that innovation will be limited due to the current market and caution of investors. | Eco Bicester Project Team to closely scrutinise emerging proposals from A2/P3 as well as other examples of innovation and best practice, to ensure the development applications meet the agreed Eco Town standards and aspirations contained in the One Shared Vision. | A |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| 6. Risk that the increased level of social and physical infrastructure necessitated by the full 5000 dwelling development together with the costs of meeting the eco standards expected, will adversely impact on the financial viability of the project, making delivery unviable. | A | In common with many developments being planned at the current time, the issue of financial viability has emerged as part of the planning negotiations on the Phase 1 planning application. However A2 / P3 have been willing to adopt an open book approach to Phase 1 which has greatly assisted negotiations; it is anticipated that this approach will also be used for the outline application for the entire development. | Further work being undertaken to explore the wider masterplan viability and provide greater certainty going forward. | Α |

| B. LDF | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Delay to LDF strategic allocation of NW Bicester | А | Consultation period on the Draft Core Strategy closed 19 April 2010.Localism Bill published but detail still to be confirmed pending its passage through Parliament - unlikely before November 2011. Announcement of single national policy framework and withdrawal of PPGs and PPSs anticipated 2012. | The draft LDF Core Strategy now includes the Shared Vision for the Eco Town. The timetable for public consultation and further progress on the Core Strategy is currently under discussion - this will be reported to a future SDB. | A |
| 2. Competing sites being put forward for development | A | MOD land put forward for housing & employment and viewed by some as a brownfield alternative to NW Bicester. Representations have been made to the LDF draft Core Strategy and will be considered through that route. A planning application has also now been submitted by the MOD. | Eco Project team to liaise closely with CDC Planning Policy colleagues so they understand the emerging picture on this issue and provide updates as necessary to the SDB. | A |
| C. Development of Masterplan | | | | |
| Development of masterplan required for the whole site proceeds slowly | R | Steering Group meetings established between Local Authority and Developer team to ensure planning stays on track. A comprehensive revised programme of work to deliver the masterplan from the site promoters is awaited. As such, the estimated timescale for the completion of the Masterplan is currently unknown, although unlikely to be earlier than Spring 2012. | New Planning Performance Agreement (PPA), to be prepared and signed by all parties to ensure there are agreed timescales and processes for the submission of the masterplan and outline application. Meeting held with P3/A2, CDC and OCC in September 2011 to progress this. | A |

| Consultation exercise does not meet Government expectations | A | First, second and third round consultation undertaken by P3/A2, outcome of consultation reported in Phase 1 application submission as part of the Statement of Community Involvement. Government expectations with regard to localism and engagement may not yet be fully met by existing developer approach to consultation. Discussions are on going with A2 / P3 regarding the next stages of consultation. | A joint Communications Strategy was approved at the January 2011 meeting of the SDB, devised by the Eco Bicester Strategic Community Engagement Manager to foster a coordinated and streamlined approach to all communications on the project. A Community Engagement Strategy has been developed to guide a joint approach to community engagement and is being reported to the October SDB. Support has been provided to P3Eco to develop their engagement strategy. | A |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| D. Masterplan Work Streams | | | | |
| 1. Energy and Waste | A | Further work required to develop a site wide energy & waste strategy to inform masterplanning. | Eco Bicester Project team to closely monitor and advise A2 / P3 on requirements for a robust approach that meets the Eco Town standards. | A |
| 2. Design and Sustainable Construction | A | Further work required to inform masterplanning. | Eco Bicester Project team to closely monitor and advise A2 / P3 on requirements for a robust approach that meets the Eco Town standards. | A |
| 3. Transport and Access | A | Further work required to develop a site wide transport strategy to inform masterplanning. | Eco Bicester Project team to closely monitor and advise A2 / P3 on requirements for a robust approach that meets the Eco Town standards. | А |

| 4. Commercial and Employment | A | Further work required to develop a site wide employment strategy to inform masterplanning. | Eco Bicester Project team to closely monitor and advise A2 / P3 on requirements for a robust approach that meets the Eco Town standards. | A |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 5. Social and Community | А | Further work required to complete a site wide infrastructure plan to inform masterplanning. | Eco Bicester Project team to closely monitor and advise A2 / P3 on requirements for a robust approach that meets the Eco Town standards. | A |
| 6. Green Infrastructure | A | Further work required to complete a site wide bio diversity and green infrastructure plan to inform masterplanning. | Eco Bicester Project team to closely monitor and advise A2 / P3 on requirements for a robust approach that meets the Eco Town standards. | A |
| 7. S106 Requirements | A | Further work required by CDC, OCC and other external service providers e.g. the PCT, to complete the social and physical infrastructure requirement for the entire 5000 dw site. This work is urgent due to the wider implications for site viability and the spatial arrangement of uses in the masterplan, arising from it. | An initial (but incomplete) list of requirements has recently been given to P3 / A2. Further internal meetings between CDC and OCC are arranged to refine further and external service providers have been requested to provide information. P3 / A2 to be given updated information as soon as it becomes available. | A |

| E. Phase 1 Application | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Land Acquisition | Α | Land for exemplar demonstration secured. | | G |
| Slow progress on development and processing of planning application | A | Planning Application was determined with a resolution to grant planning consent subject to conditions and the satisfactory completion of a S106 agreement at CDC's Planning Committee in August 2011. | Regular S106 meetings are being held between CDC, OCC, P3 / A2 to progress S106 agreement completion. Target date for signing is December 2011. | Ð |
| 2. Lack of innovation in layout & design | R | The resolution to grant planning consent for the Phase 1 application was subject to several conditions which related to the design aspects of the scheme. Details are currently awaited from A2 / P3 in fulfilment of these conditions. | Scheme includes zero carbon energy, rain water harvesting, code 5 houses, proposals to promote sustainable transport including a car club and electric vehicle infrastructure and measures to promote employment and provide training. Close scrutiny of proposals by the Eco Project Team to ensure they meet the high quality design standards expected. | O |

| 3. Failure for scheme to deliver appropriate levels of community infrastructure | R | Discussions ongoing with promoters concerning satisfactory provision of community infrastructure; this aspect will be resolved as part of the current S106 negotiations. | Open book approach to examining scheme financial viability has been agreed in principle with site promoters so that issues which could impact on community infrastructure provision can be explored and resolved jointly. This information has been used to define S106 contributions to be secured through the exemplar application. Further work being undertaken to explore the wider masterplan viability and provide greater certainty going forward. | A |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| 4. Failure to provide primary school at early stage of development | A | Discussions ongoing within OCC regarding timing of school delivery. While the normal lead in time for school delivery makes it unlikely that the school will be in place when first families move to the site, OCC are now estimating that the school could be delivered just over a year after the first occupations. OCC currently investigating school place provision in the interim period between first occupations and school opening. | Primary school site to be secured through S106 and contribution to infrastructure sufficient to fund first phase of school with Eco Town Funding previously identified. OCC officers to ensure OCC / CDC councillors and the SDB are updated on progress. | G |
| F. Demonstration Projects | | | | |
| 1.Exhibition House | | | | |

| Failure to deliver Exhibition House | A | Lease agreed with Bicester Town Council, license with P3 signed. Planning permission granted. Hoarding erected, start October 2010. Building specification provided. Completion Spring 2011. Opened May 2011. | Eco Bicester Project team to keep close watch on progress to prevent slippage. | G |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| 2. Cooper School | | | | |
| Construction of building | G | Opened September 2011. | Eco Bicester Project team to keep close watch on progress to prevent slippage. | G |
| 3. Bryan House | | | | |
| Development of affordable housing | G | Planning permission granted and S106 completed. Currently under construction. | | G |
| NAHP funding | A | Bid for funding has been made and funding required for work to start. Announcement now received for funding 2010/11 | | G |
| 4. Community Hall | | | | |
| Construction of building | A | Planning permission granted. Work started June 2010 and progressing on site. Opened August 2011: formal opening by HRH Princess Anne November 2011. | Eco Bicester Project team to keep close watch on progress to prevent slippage. | О |
| 5. Travel Behaviour | | | | |
| Travel behaviour project to deliver modal shift within the town | А | Survey work undertaken in September 2010, walking audits completed, cycling audits completed, car share database relaunched. Project update to be reported to October 2011 SDB. | Eco Bicester Project team to ensure that findings are used to influence the promoters' transport proposals. Proposals for 2011/12 to be reported to SDB October 2011 | A |
| 6. Construction Skills | | | | |
| P3Eco establishing programme and accreditation for industry training and base for delivering skills required | R | Course accreditation agreed. Tim Fenn pursuing on behalf of Oxfordshire Construction Group. | | A |

| Identify other opportunities for skills training | R | Employment & Community and Social Infrastructure working groups to identify opportunities. Consideration of WNDC approach re skills training - OCC to discuss internally how to progress with relevant officers. | | A |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| OCVC Skills Training | G | OCVC have established Technology and Skills Centre to offer construction training. Awaiting further details of what it will offer. | | G |
| 7. Residential Retrofits | | | | |
| Programme to encourage retrofitting within existing properties | A | Insulation scheme launched to provide insulation to existing properties that would benefit. Over 1302 referrals, 939 completed to date. Community groups' referral incentive launched, 6 referrals to date. | Eco Bicester Project team to continue to monitor take up of scheme and report to SDB. | G |
| G. Supporting Utilities Infrastructure | | | | |
| Inadequate utilities infrastructure in Bicester to support NW Bicester | A | Exemplar application and outline application will contain measures to ensure there are environmentally acceptable ways of dealing with utilities provision, e.g. water recycling and conservation, on-site renewable energy generation, broadband installation, SUDs to deal with surface water run-off. | As details of the proposed measures evolve, Eco Bicester Project Team to ensure that there will be no net adverse impact on existing utilities capacity | G |

| Inadequate utilities infrastructure | А | As part of the preparatory work on CDC's | As the infrastructure audit will be | Α |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| to support wider growth in | | Infrastructure Strategy, an infrastructure audit | carried out as part of CDC's | |
| Bicester | | will be carried out to assess the extent of | Development Plan process, it will | |
| | | capacity or otherwise in the utilities | allow these issues to be scoped | |
| | | infrastructure serving Bicester. | out, understood and remediated so | |
| | | | that new development is supported | |
| | | | by adequate infrastructure, | |
| | | | although this will depend to some | |
| | | | extent on the utilities companies | |
| | | | taking a positive response to the | |
| | | | issue. | |